

AMENDMENT TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

1. (currently amended) A system comprising:

a bus; and

a plurality of agents coupled to said bus, in which each of ~~the~~ said plurality of agents is configured to arbitrate for said bus using an arbitration priority scheme, but wherein only one of said plurality of agents is selected as a predetermined default agent to be alone given default grant of said bus ~~without arbitrating independent of the arbitration priority scheme~~, if no other of said plurality of agents arbitrates for said bus, said default agent ~~is to also be~~ is an arbitration participant with other ones of said plurality of agents ~~in an arbitration scheme implemented by the system when arbitrating using the arbitration priority scheme~~, and ~~wherein said arbitration scheme includes an arbitration priority of said plurality of agents~~, in which said default agent is changed ~~from a current priority in said arbitration priority~~ to a lower priority in ~~said~~ the arbitration priority ~~scheme~~ in response to using said bus by default grant, but said default agent to always maintain its status to be given default grant of said bus ~~independent of arbitrations granted by said arbitration priority to the plurality of agents, even after other agents win arbitration of said bus~~.

2. (canceled)

3. (currently amended) The system as recited in claim 1 further comprising a plurality of request signals, each of ~~said~~ the plurality of request signals corresponding to a respective agent of said plurality of agents and used by said respective agent to indicate whether or not said respective agent is arbitrating for said bus, and wherein said default agent is coupled to receive at least one of ~~said~~ the plurality of request signals corresponding to other ones of said plurality of agents, and wherein said default agent is configured to determine if none of said plurality of agents is arbitrating responsive to ~~said~~ the plurality

of request signals.

4. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 1 wherein said bus is a split transaction bus including an address bus and a data bus, and wherein said default agent is to be given default grant of said data bus responsive to no other of said plurality of agents arbitrating for said data bus.

5. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 1 wherein said default agent is configured to use said bus responsive to being given default grant, only if said default agent has information to transfer on said bus.

6. (currently amended) The system as recited in claim 1 wherein said default agent is configured to arbitrate for said bus using the arbitration priority scheme if at least one other of said plurality of agents is arbitrating for said bus ~~during said arbitration~~ and said default agent has information to transfer on said bus.

7. (canceled)

8. (currently amended) The system as recited in claim 1 further comprising one or more arbiters configured to perform ~~said arbitration~~, wherein said one or more arbiters are configured to maintain a state indicative of ~~said arbitration~~ priority of said plurality of agents, and wherein an agent winning an arbitration is changed to lowest priority in ~~said~~ the arbitration priority scheme.

9. (canceled)

10. (currently amended) An arbiter for a bus comprising:

a first circuit coupled to receive a plurality of request signals, each of ~~said~~ the plurality of request signals corresponding to a respective agent of a plurality of agents coupled to said bus and indicative of whether or not said respective agent is arbitrating for said bus using an arbitration priority scheme, wherein said first circuit is configured to

grant default use of said bus ~~without arbitration~~ independent of the arbitration priority scheme to a predetermined default agent selected as an only agent from said plurality of agents for lone use of the default grant, if no other of said plurality of agents is arbitrating for said bus, said default agent ~~is~~ to also be an arbitration participant with other ones of said plurality of agents ~~in an arbitration scheme implemented by said plurality of agents when arbitrating using the arbitration priority scheme, and wherein said arbitration scheme includes an arbitration priority of said plurality of agents, in which said default agent is changed from a current priority in said arbitration priority~~ to a lower priority in said the arbitration priority scheme in response to using said bus ~~granted in response to none of said plurality of agents arbitrating for said bus,~~ but said default agent to always maintain its status to be given default grant of said bus ~~independent of arbitrations granted by said arbitration priority to the plurality of agents, even after other agents win arbitration of said bus.~~

11. (canceled)

12. (previously presented) The arbiter as recited in claim 10 wherein said bus is a split transaction bus including an address bus and a data bus, and wherein said default agent is granted use of said data bus responsive to none of said plurality of agents arbitrating for said data bus.

13. (currently amended) The arbiter as recited in claim 10 further comprising a second circuit configured to determine if said default agent wins an arbitration for said bus if at least one of said plurality of agents is arbitrating for said bus, and wherein said second circuit is configured to determine if said default agent wins ~~said~~ arbitration according to said the arbitration priority scheme.

14. (currently amended) The arbiter as recited in claim ~~10~~ 13 further comprising a storage coupled to said second circuit, said storage ~~storing~~ to store an indication of ~~the relative priority of said other ones of said plurality of agents to said default agent,~~ and wherein a winner of said arbitration is updated to lowest priority.

15. (canceled)

16. (currently amended) A method comprising:

selecting a predetermined default agent as an only agent from a plurality of agents to have default grant of a bus independent of an arbitration priority scheme used by said plurality of agents;

granting default use of a said bus to a predetermined said default agent of a plurality of agents responsive to none of said plurality of agents arbitrating for said bus using the arbitration priority scheme, said default agent selected as an only default agent from said plurality of agents to be alone granted default use of said bus without arbitrating, if no other of said plurality of agents is arbitrating for said bus, wherein said default agent is also an arbitration participant with other ones of said plurality of agents in an arbitration scheme implemented by said plurality of agents using the arbitration priority scheme to arbitrate for said bus;

said default agent using said bus by said default agent in response to said granting of obtaining default use grant of said bus;

changing an arbitration priority of said arbitration scheme in response to said default agent after using said bus, said default agent changed from a current priority to a lower priority in said arbitration priority scheme; and

maintaining always for said default agent its status to be granted default use of said bus independent of arbitrations granted by said the arbitration priority scheme to the plurality of agents, even after other agents win arbitration of said bus.

17. (canceled)

18. (currently amended) The method as recited in claim 16 further comprising:

receiving a plurality of request signals, each of said plurality of request signals corresponding to a respective agent of said plurality of agents and indicative of whether or not said respective agent is arbitrating for said bus; and

determining that none of said plurality of agents is arbitrating responsive to said

the plurality of request signals.

19. (previously presented) The method as recited in claim 16 wherein said bus is a split transaction bus including an address bus and a data bus, and wherein said granting comprises granting default use of said data bus to said default agent.

20. (currently amended) The method as recited in claim 16 further comprising using said bus by said default agent ~~in response to said granting~~ only if said default agent has information to transfer on said bus.

21. (currently amended) The method as recited in claim 20 further comprising arbitrating for said bus by said default agent if one or more ~~other ones~~ of said plurality of agents are arbitrating.

22. (currently amended) The method as recited in claim 21 further comprising determining a winner of an arbitration according to ~~said~~ the arbitration priority scheme.

23. (canceled)

24. (currently amended) A carrier medium comprising a database which is operated upon by a program executable on a computer system, the program operating on the database to perform a portion of a process to fabricate an integrated circuit including circuitry described by the database, the circuitry described in the database including a system comprising:

a bus; and

a plurality of agents coupled to said bus, in which each of ~~the~~ said plurality of agents is configured to arbitrate for said bus using an arbitration priority scheme, but wherein only one of said plurality of agents is selected as a predetermined default agent to be alone given default grant of said bus ~~without arbitrating independent of the arbitration priority scheme~~, if no other of said plurality of agents arbitrates for said bus, said default agent ~~is to also be~~ is also be an arbitration participant with other ones of said plurality

~~of agents in an arbitration scheme implemented by the system when arbitrating using the arbitration priority scheme, and wherein said arbitration scheme includes an arbitration priority of said plurality of agents, in which said default agent is changed from a current priority in said arbitration priority to a lower priority in said the arbitration priority scheme in response to using said bus by default grant, but said default agent to always maintain its status to be given default grant of said bus independent of arbitrations granted by said arbitration priority to the plurality of agents, even after other agents win arbitration of said bus.~~

25. (canceled)

26. (previously presented) The carrier medium as recited in claim 24 wherein said bus is a split transaction bus including an address bus and a data bus, and wherein said default agent is to be given default grant of said data bus responsive to no other of said plurality of agents arbitrating for said data bus.

27. (previously presented) The carrier medium as recited in claim 24 wherein said default agent is configured to use said bus responsive to being given default grant, only if said default agent has information to transfer on said bus.

28. (currently amended) The carrier medium as recited in claim 24 further comprising one or more arbiters configured to perform ~~said~~ arbitration, wherein said one or more arbiters are configured to maintain a state indicative of ~~said arbitration~~ priority of said plurality of agents, and wherein an agent winning an arbitration is changed to lowest priority in ~~said~~ the arbitration priority scheme.

29. (canceled)

30. (currently amended) A carrier medium comprising a database which is operated upon by a program executable on a computer system, the program operating on the database to perform a portion of a process to fabricate an integrated circuit including

circuitry described by the database, the circuitry described in the database including an arbiter for a bus, the arbiter comprising:

a first circuit coupled to receive a plurality of request signals, each of said plurality of request signals corresponding to a respective agent of a plurality of agents coupled to said bus and indicative of whether or not said respective agent is arbitrating for said bus using an arbitration priority scheme, wherein said first circuit is configured to grant default use of said bus ~~without arbitrating~~ independent of the arbitration priority scheme to a predetermined default agent selected as an only agent from said plurality of agents for lone use of the default grant, if no other of said plurality of agents is arbitrating for said bus, said default agent ~~is to also be an arbitration participant with other ones of said plurality of agents in an arbitration scheme implemented by said plurality of agents when arbitrating using the arbitration priority scheme, and wherein said arbitration scheme includes an arbitration priority of said plurality of agents,~~ in which said default agent is changed ~~from a current priority in said arbitration priority~~ to a lower priority in ~~said the arbitration priority scheme~~ in response to using said bus ~~granted in response to none of said plurality of agents arbitrating for said bus,~~ but said default agent to always maintain its status to be given default grant of said bus ~~independent of arbitrations granted by the arbitration priority to the plurality of agents, even after other agents win arbitration of said bus.~~

31. (canceled)

32. (previously presented) The carrier medium as recited in claim 30 wherein said bus is a split transaction bus including an address bus and a data bus, and wherein said default agent is granted use of said data bus responsive to no other of said plurality of agents arbitrating for said data bus.

33. (currently amended) The carrier medium as recited in claim 30 further comprising a storage configured to store an indication of ~~the relative~~ priority of ~~said~~ other ones of said plurality of agents to said default agent, and wherein a winner of said arbitration is updated to lowest priority.

34. (canceled)

35. (previously presented) The system as recited in claim 1 wherein said default agent is configured to drive said bus responsive to being given default grant even if said default agent has no information to transfer on said bus.

36. (currently amended) The system as recited in claim 1 wherein ~~said arbitration~~ priority is changed in response to any one of the plurality of agents using said bus.

37. (currently amended) The system as recited in claim 36 wherein ~~said~~ one of said plurality of agents using said bus is changed to a lowest priority.

38. (currently amended) The arbiter as recited in claim 10 wherein ~~said arbitration~~ priority is changed in response to any one of the plurality of agents using said bus.

39. (currently amended) The arbiter as recited in claim 38 wherein ~~said~~ one of said plurality of agents using said bus is changed to a lowest priority.

40. (currently amended) The method as recited in claim 16 further comprising driving said bus by said default agent in response to ~~said granting~~ being given default grant even if said default agent has no information to transfer on said bus.

41. (previously presented) The carrier medium as recited in claim 24 wherein said default agent is configured to drive said bus responsive to being given default grant even if said default agent has no information to transfer on said bus.

42. (currently amended) The carrier medium as recited in claim 24 wherein ~~said arbitration~~ priority is changed in response to any one of the plurality of agents using said bus.

43. (currently amended) The carrier medium as recited in claim 42 wherein ~~said~~ one of said plurality of agents using said bus is changed to a lowest priority.

44. (currently amended) The carrier medium as recited in claim 30 wherein ~~said~~ ~~arbitration~~ priority is changed in response to any one of the plurality of agents using said bus.

45. (currently amended) The carrier medium as recited in claim 44 wherein ~~said~~ one of said plurality of agents using said bus is changed to a lowest priority.